Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,111	KIL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George R. Evanisko	3762
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>George R. Evanisko</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Derrick Reed</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 May 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The oath/declaration filed on 1/30/08 changed the order of inventor names. The oath was filed in response to the notice of allowance indicating a defective oath due to the "duty to disclose" statement. Director Dudas sent a memo of 1/22/08 clarifying that we would accept the old oaths. Therefore, the original oath is to be used, and the new oath of 1/30/08 is not to be used (and the change of order of names is incorrect)..